THE WORKPLACE ADVANTAGE

The £20 billion key: why the office environment is key to productivity
The Stoddart Review continues the work of an industry giant: Chris Stoddart, MCIOB, FBIFM. Formed by the British Institute of Facilities Management (BIFM) and founding partners privileged to have worked with Chris, it exists to raise awareness among business leaders of the importance of the workplace and real estate as a key performance lever. It is independent and cross-industry in its perspective.

Much has been said in the media about the productivity gap but little connection has been made about the vital contribution of the workplace as a performance inhibitor or facilitator. The Review unequivocally makes the case and shares best practice, leading opinion and data.

For many enterprises, workplace delivery and management falls within the remit of facilities management professionals. Chris played an active leadership role in BIFM, the professional body for facilities management. The Review seeks to encourage executive leadership teams to ask deeper and more probing questions of how well their workplaces are contributing to the success of their organisations.

The Stoddart Review is a not-for-profit initiative. The founding partners of The Crown Estate, Cushman & Wakefield, Joanna Lloyd-Davies and Polly Plunket-Checkemian, with BIFM acting as host organisation, have volunteered their time with contributions from supporting organisations, business leaders, industry experts and our research partners, namely Leesman Index and Sheffield Hallam University, to highlight the workplace as a key lever to increasing UK productivity. Inevitably, the Review poses many questions and seeks to provide both evidential and experiential-based answers, but it is the business leaders and workplace professionals who are encouraged through this report to take this conversation forward.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION
www.stoddartreview.com
research@bifm.org.uk
The Stoddart Review is the first study that has been conducted into the role working environments play in organisational and UK productivity. Inclusivity has been key to this process and, true to the spirit of action-orientated research, it will hopefully provide a catalyst to keep this tremendously important conversation going.

The Stoddart Review offers strategic opinion and evidence-based conclusions from three principal lines of enquiry:

• Interviews with senior business leaders and opinion formers to elicit pertinent topics worthy of scrutiny. Then, through investigative journalism, an attempt to understand the extent to which their opinions are aligned with workplace industry perspectives and corporate attitudes.

• A three-day ‘open house’ during September 2016 where numerous subject matter experts presented to The Stoddart Review panel. Submissions were received from a diverse group representing organisational development, architecture, design, facilities management, engineering, business process outsourcing, real estate, academia and technology.

• Quantitative analysis from the Leesman Index database of workplace effectiveness performance data. Founded in 2010, the Index is now the world’s foremost independent performance measure of how workplaces support the employees they accommodate. Adopted by leading global organisations, the Leesman ‘Lmi’ is a simple 0-100 index score that allows employers to benchmark and compare the fitness for purpose of their corporate workplaces and in so doing, measure their contribution to organisational performance.

Which of the following most closely describes your work mobility inside the office?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Time with organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I perform most/all of my activities at a single work setting and rarely use other locations within the office</td>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0-6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I perform the majority of my activities at a single work setting but also use other locations within the office</td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>6-18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I perform some of my activities at a single work setting but often use other locations within the office</td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>18 months - 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I use multiple work settings and rarely base myself at a single location within the office</td>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>3-8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 or over</td>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8-12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Over 12 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data reported from the Leesman Index® global database as at 22.11.16
Economist and former BBC Newsnight economics correspondent Duncan Weldon weighs in

Nobel prize-winning economist Paul Krugman once wrote that ‘productivity isn’t everything but in the long run it is almost everything’. Most economists would agree. The headline indicators of economic activity such as employment, wages and profits tend to generate the most headlines while the government’s deficit of spending over tax revenues has been a recent focus of public policy in recent years. But the single most important long-run economic factor that underpins everything else is productivity growth. It is productivity growth, the ability to get more output from the same amount of labour and capital, that has made us richer over time and that drives both corporate profits and workers’ wages.

Britain, and indeed much of the world, has been experiencing a productivity slowdown since the financial crisis. In the three-and-a-half decades from the early 1970s until 2008, productivity grew by about 2% per year, pushing living standards higher. Since 2009 it has been broadly flat. The causes of that slowdown have been much debated and it is unlikely that there is a single culprit.

While Britain’s slowdown has been especially severe, it fits within a global trend that’s being felt across both the advanced and the emerging economies. But focusing on just the recent slowing of productivity growth risks missing the fact that the UK has a double productivity problem – not only has growth slowed but there already existed a sizeable gap with other developed countries.

UK productivity is around 18% below the average level of the other members of the Group of Seven advanced economies. While productivity growth has slowed everywhere and there is clearly a global problem, it is undeniable that there is also a long-running UK-specific issue at work.

The Stoddart Review looks to explain some of that gap by looking at an under-examined area – the UK’s physical workspace. It makes intuitive sense that a well-designed and fit-for-purpose workspace contributes to productivity just as skills, software and physical equipment do. The report convincingly argues that this is an area that UK policy and businesses have traditionally neglected and that a better use of workspace could give us a modest – but important – productivity boost. When it comes to productivity growth, and our long-term economic health, then every little helps. A one percentage point closing of the productivity gap with our closest international peers would be a large boost to the economy.

The Review notes that, according to the Leesman Index (a database of workplace effectiveness performance), only a half of employees can say their workplace enables them to work productively. Closing our national productivity gap means focusing on spreading back best practice and increasing that number.

Policymakers have long regarded the productivity gaps, both between the UK and other countries and within the UK, as a problem to solve. But there is only so much that central and local government can achieve. In the end productivity growth requires action from government, from the workforce and from firms themselves. It is a shared problem and solving it offers shared benefits in terms of higher wage growth and faster profit growth. There is no straightforward answer; if there was it would have been solved years ago. Rather, it is a process of continual improvement and marginal gains. The Stoddart Review rightly points out that some of this process and some of these gains can be found in better physical workplaces.

So what would a 1% productivity gain mean in the real world? It may not sound like much, but achieved across the UK macroeconomy it would add almost £20 billion to our national output. Such an increase could reduce the annual government deficit by around £8 billion, add £250 a year to the average wage packet and increase annual profits across the country by almost £3.5 billion. Small rises in productivity are far from trivial.

The productivity gap between the UK and other advanced economies will not be closed overnight and will require action from government, from employees and from employers across a wide range of fronts. But closing it is the best and most longest lasting way to increase our national prosperity. Improving our physical workspace has a role to play.
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Much has been said about the UK’s productivity crisis as it continues to be in sharp focus for government and business. Raising productivity levels is essential to deliver stronger economic growth and the Chancellor placed it central to his Autumn Statement.

Of course, productivity itself is a controversial subject. As a metric it alienates industries that exist to collaborate, serve customers or innovate – the basis of many of today’s business models. Every business will have its own performance metrics, however, and in seeking greater shareholder return or higher performance, will be using various organisational performance levers.

So far the national debate on UK productivity has been silent on real estate, facilities management and workplace, yet, according to the UK and Ireland Leesman Index, only 53% of respondents agree that their workplace allows them to work productively. This is an unpalatable figure for any business leader to read, and one that cannot be ignored.

The research in The Stoddart Review has uncovered an explicit link between how well a workplace supports the activities that employees undertake in their role and the extent to which they say that their workplaces help them to work productively. This might sound like stating the obvious but the more tailored the infrastructure (hard, soft and virtual) to the needs of those it accommodates, the better employees perform.

When it’s so patently apparent, why is it that so few organisations place sufficient strategic importance on the physical working environment as a key driver of organisational performance? Why do so few employers place the creation of a workplace that is fit for purpose centre stage?

The Stoddart Review is named after the late Chris Stoddart, an exemplar in the workspace industry who worked with The Crown Estate on our Regent Street portfolio. The Stoddart Review is a cross-industry initiative which examines these questions. It has a single purpose: to help business leaders fully understand the contribution of the workplace to organisational performance – in short to see workplace as a key performance lever.

The Review is led by a group of experts from real estate, workplace strategy and evaluation, facilities management and academia. Leading figures across all disciplines supporting the workplace industry have shared insight as well as those at the helm of leading companies in a range of knowledge-based sectors.

It’s not surprising that the Review has uncovered paradox and ambiguity. Indeed, there is no silver bullet for the workplace productivity debate, and the Review does not set out to find one. But the Review did find several recurring themes.

Rather than isolating workers, as one might assume, technology is actually bringing them together and facilitating greater levels of collaboration and innovation. A workforce with access to good technology now has the choice of whether to come to the office – and that office plays a vital role in facilitating community and cohesion. As companies chase a ubiquitous talent platform transferrable to any organisation, workplace brings competitive advantage as the psychological contract is shifting.

The technology-enabled workplace, or smart workplace, which at the time of this Review is entering the mainstream, is also the most humane workplace delivered to date. It provides a level of individual customisation and data previously unimaginable. The skill base needed to support this is also under adaptation.

But workplace, like other performance levers, needs regular appraisal and calibration. Annual appraisal sits at the heart of all talent management programmes, and yet the Review found that measurement or appraisal of workplace is only regularly undertaken by an enlightened few. For many, workplace strategy is still dictated by lease events.

Measurement to date has largely been about utilisation of space rather than productivity of it. The Stoddart Review believes this is a misleading metric. Measuring utilisation – how many people per square foot of accommodation – has led design and occupancy strategies to support density at the expense of performance and productivity. Leading figures were keen to help the Review understand the fundamental impacts in reframing an integrated business case around improving the performance of 90% of a typical organisation’s cost base, rather than value engineering accommodation costs, typically comprising 7%.

Overwhelmingly though, the Review found a disconnect between the workplace, the industry serving it and the people it is intended to benefit. ‘People-first’ became a mantra, whether in relation to determining its effectiveness, shaping the design or finding the right size, style and purpose. Ultimately, productivity is a human outcome, not an organisational one. Those leading the Review were reminded time and again that the purpose of the workplace is to make employees as effective as they can be. But the Review also saw that when workplace is hardwired into an organisation’s purpose, values and brand, it drives pride and engagement and unlocks discretionary effort.

Workplace has been a hidden performance lever for too long. Duncan Weldon’s visualisation of a 1% increase in productivity has profound benefits for us all. The vital work in The Stoddart Review helps every business leave this stone unturned no longer.

ALISON NIMMO CBE
CEO of The Crown Estate
Improving productivity is essential for increasing business profitability, yet companies are only now starting to look at the role that the workplace plays.

- Only one in two employees agree their workplace enables them to be productive
- Workplace is the second biggest cost in any organisation after salaries
- It’s time to start measuring the impact of workplace on employee productivity
A ttaining greater productivity is a holy grail that continues to elude and excite some company executives. Business leaders, economists and politicians are united in wanting to ensure firms get the most from their staff at the lowest possible cost. But where should they start? The first step is to measure it using a uniformly accepted method. According to H James Harrington, the renowned expert on quality and performance improvement, if you cannot measure something, you cannot understand it. If you cannot understand it, you cannot control it. If you cannot control it, you cannot improve it. But then of course, as British economist Charles Goodhart identified in the 1970s, specifying the wrong organisational measures can have negative, and even disastrous, consequences.

While economists measure it as the volume of output per hour worked, many companies and industries use their own indices that have more relevance to their business. A financial services firm might use revenue per broker while an advertising company might focus on the number of accounts, and a back-office services business might measure the volume of work completed in a certain time. And the chief executive will just want to know that whatever is being measured can be linked to productivity and our investigations found that these terms were being far too casually transposed. ‘Saving real estate costs by increasing occupation density is a false economy if it results in cluttered, noisy ‘one-size-fits-all’ environments that frustrate people and actually hinder effective work,’ says Bridget Hardy, strategic advisor on smart working at the Department of Work and Pensions. ‘The key to increasing density effectively is mobility — with the freedom to choose coupled with a choice of environments that suit different types of work and personal preferences.’

Research carried out for The Stoddart Review shows that progressive firms understand that productivity is a human outcome, not an organisational one. There is now a clear understanding that the workplace itself can be a barrier to higher productivity. While some organisations are still at the stage of implementing open-plan solutions for cost reasons, others have accepted that a large, open-plan office does not necessarily result in greater collaboration, more efficient working or world-beating innovations. They now realise that open-plan offices can also be noisy, distracting, irritating and counterproductive.

The route to productivity is no longer just about delivering more, but also about delivering in a smarter way

SMARTER NOT MORE

Unfortunately, The Stoddart Review found that the typical response has been to carry out utilisation studies and look to increase workplace occupant density. These projects may deliver increased occupant density, but they confuse spatial efficiency with productivity and our investigations found that these terms were being far too casually transposed. ‘Saving real estate costs by increasing occupation density is a false economy if it results in cluttered, noisy ‘one-size-fits-all’ environments that frustrate people and actually hinder effective work,’ says Bridget Hardy, strategic advisor on smart working at the Department of Work and Pensions. ‘The key to increasing density effectively is mobility — with the freedom to choose coupled with a choice of environments that suit different types of work and personal preferences.’

Research carried out for The Stoddart Review shows that progressive firms understand that productivity is a human outcome, not an organisational one. There is now a clear understanding that the workplace itself can be a barrier to higher productivity. While some organisations are still at the stage of implementing open-plan solutions for cost reasons, others have accepted that a large, open-plan office does not necessarily result in greater collaboration, more efficient working or world-beating innovations. They now realise that open-plan offices can also be noisy, distracting, irritating and counterproductive.

A wealth of studies past and present has demonstrated this. A 2013 study by the University of California found that office workers were interrupted as often as every three minutes by digital and human distractions and that once these distractions occurred, it could take as long as 23 minutes to get back to the task in hand.

BEYOND OPEN-PLAN

There is now a clear understanding that the workplace itself can be a barrier to higher productivity. While some organisations are still at the stage of implementing open-plan solutions for cost reasons, others have accepted that a large, open-plan office does not necessarily result in greater collaboration, more efficient working or world-beating innovations. They now realise that open-plan offices can also be noisy, distracting, irritating and counterproductive.

A wealth of studies past and present has demonstrated this. A 2013 study by the University of California found that office workers were interrupted as often as every three minutes by digital and human distractions and that once these distractions occurred, it could take as long as 23 minutes to get back to the task in hand.

SMOOTH OPERATIONS

The route to productivity is no longer just about delivering more, but also about delivering in a smarter way

- Do we understand whether different roles within the organisation have differing workplace needs?
- Do we know whether employees are proud of their workplace?
- Do we know if the workplace is helping to create a strong sense of community?

1 Industry 4.0 is the trend of automation and data exchange in manufacturing technologies. It includes cyber-physical systems, the Internet of Things and cloud computing.

2 Efficiency of space is a measure of the number of people in the space, or the cost of the space, but is not the measure of spatial productivity.
A 2016 study from Auckland University of Technology found that, as work environments became more shared (with ‘hot desking’ at the extreme end of the spectrum), not only were there increases in demands, but co-worker friendships were not improved and perceptions of supervisory support decreased. The authors said that ‘distraction caused by overhearing irrelevant conversations is a major issue in open-plan office environments’, and that this distraction was negatively linked with employee performance and perceptions of the workplace, and with stress.

Stress matters, and not just for reasons of compassion. A 2014 Willis Towers Watson Global Benefits Attitudes survey found that levels of workplace disengagement increased significantly when workers experienced high levels of stress. The study, which looked at 22,347 employees across 12 countries including the UK and US, showed that more than half those employees who claimed to be experiencing high stress levels also reported disengagement.

Gallup’s 2013 State of the Global Workplace Report estimates the cost of disengagement to the UK’s workforce at £52 billion to £70 billion.

Gallup methodologies use nine key employee engagement outcomes: customer loyalty/engagement; profitability; productivity; turnover; safety incidents; shrinkage; absenteeism; and quality (defects). The Stoddart Review’s observation is that workplace underpins every single indicator.

The human opportunity for UK plc is clear; it’s time to start measuring the impact of workplace on employee productivity.

**OUR OFFICES ARE NOT PRODUCTIVE**

The Stoddart Review’s research has revealed that the majority of organisations are simply not appraising their workplace contribution to organisational performance.

After salaries, property is the second largest cost of any organisation, and is likely to increase over time. It’s also the number one item listed in disaster-recovery planning. So it’s surprising that while businesses review the effectiveness of their employees once a year, the infrastructure supporting them is typically only reviewed when a lease event arises, perhaps once every 10 years. Yet annual appraisal methodologies do exist. The world’s largest and most contemporaneous is the Leesman Index, which measures the relationship between employees and workplace infrastructure (see Methodology).

According to the Leesman research, only 53% of UK and Ireland respondents agree that their workplace enables them to work productively, but in the elite group of Leesman+ high performance workplaces, 70% agree that their office enables them to work productively.

However, some of the companies that contributed to our lines of enquiry are making great efforts to address performance. They are implementing wellness schemes, talking to their employees and trying to improve their environments. These businesses are placing the employee front and centre and making sure that they understand how best to support their individual roles within the organisation.

From a workplace effectiveness perspective, the ‘productivity gap’ is the chasm between those organisations that are taking a proactive strategic approach to the role of their physical environment in organisational performance and those who are not. In the highest performing Leesman Index measured workplace, 88% of employees said that ‘their workplace enables them to work productively’.

In its lowest performing space, that figure stood at just 15%.

This data is simply too compelling to ignore.

**HOW MUCH DOES HAPPINESS AFFECT PRODUCTIVITY?**

Source: 2014 study by University of Warwick, UK, and IZA Bonn, Germany

- 4% decrease in productivity
- 12% increase in productivity
- 10% decrease in productivity
- Unhappy workers
- Happy workers
- 4% of operating costs are lost due to absenteeism

---

3 The demands and resources arising from shared office spaces, Auckland University of Technology, September 2016

4 Both the quantitative research conducted through the Leesman Index® and the qualitative research conducted through the panel sessions and interviews

5 Leesman Index’s® highest performing workplace is a Danish facilities services company

6 Leesman Index’s® lowest performing workplace is a UK technology services company
The consequences of identifying the wrong measure of success can be disastrous. Take Wells Fargo, for instance, which was recently fined $185 million. Wells’ employees are incentivised to cross-sell products to existing customers and the number of new accounts opened are deemed the level of success. Due to perhaps a combination of pressure and greed, 5,300 employees opened roughly 1.5 million fake bank accounts without customers’ consent, resulting in the mass accumulation of bogus fees. Before the scandal came to light, these employees were getting credit for opening new accounts and meeting their sales goals. The business failed to check whether any of the accounts actually had any money inside.

Coca-Cola suffered a similar measurement misjudgment in the 1980s when it completely changed its soft-drink formula based on the results of a series of sip tests. While the testers preferred one sip of the sweeter formula, nobody has just one sip of Coke – they drink a whole can. And the company didn’t test whether a whole can of the new formula was as popular as just one sip. It wasn’t. They received over 40,000 complaints and had to switch back to the old formula.

In both cases, huge expense and effort could have been saved if the companies had given more consideration to the measure of success before proceeding.
Quantifiable productivity measures are notoriously difficult to capture. Dr. Nigel Oseland conducted a literature review of 200+ research studies on workplace environmental factors impacting productivity. These included lighting, acoustics, temperature, ventilation, control, furniture and space. These studies reported increased performance ranging from 0.6% to 160%. This delta was too large to be accurate, so Oseland’s team weighted the results of each piece of the data between:

Research environment
Work carried out in an actual office was weighted more highly than if it was done in a factory or simulated office.

Performance metrics
Studies with embedded business metrics were given a higher weighting than studies that measured self-assessment of performance.

Activity time
Metrics such as absenteeism were related to actual working time spent in an office and admin tasks such as proofreading were related to an estimated amount of time.

A 1% increase in the UK’s productivity will add £20bn to the UK economy
Duncan Weldon, economist

WHERE PRODUCTIVITY CAN BE MEASURED

Source: Jonathan Chown, Skanska

Productivity research literature
Source: Oseland & Burton Building the Business Case, Facilities Management 2013

A 1% increase in the UK’s productivity will add £20bn to the UK economy
Duncan Weldon, economist

WHERE PRODUCTIVITY CAN BE MEASURABLE

Source: Jonathan Chown, Skanska
There’s the subjective view of productivity taken by an employee: ‘I have my head down and everyone’s left me alone.’ But for an organisation, it’s about performance and output, which is an objective view. The aim, of course, is to marry these two. But we are getting ourselves in a tangle. We need to be creating spaces that can foster and support both angles on productivity. People need to feel they can be productive but the organisation needs to believe the workplace has motivated them. Create as much of that choice as possible, supported by fantastic amenities, and you have the conditions for productivity.

Space itself is a journey, not a product. It’s a permanent beta trial, which means you are enabling change long after the space is ‘finished’. How many change programmes wind up a few weeks after the last move? Very often, the success of one space or area mitigates against the success of another, and invariably this occurs over time as people get to understand the space and change the way they work. It’s important to continually observe, test, discuss, measure and be prepared to tweak and change the space, because no one wants to wait 15 years for the next crusade. It is also worth remembering that a flexible or ‘activity-based’ workplace takes much more management than a static arrangement.

The workplace ‘industry’ continually lurches from one panacea to the other, awaiting the messianic idea. Workplace is not a complex subject, despite the attempts of many to make it so. We spend around half of our time working alone, half working with others. That’s a good enough starting point for just about every workplace scheme.

There is an almost institutional pressure to be ‘disruptive’. Don’t try and be too clever with people’s productivity, wellbeing and comfort. People still need to understand the space being provided, how it works, and to see the opportunities it offers. You don’t need to challenge people out of their wits.

Mimicry can be a bit awkward and expensive, especially where fads are concerned. By all means consider the approach, methodology and thought processes adopted by others, but not necessarily the outcome. The design may then last longer than the initial two-second dopamine rush you get from seeing a climbing-wall in the corner.

The greater choice you provide, the easier it is to hand control of the workplace to the occupants. We need to avoid being too prescriptive and allow people to use the space as they wish. If we provide a considered choice of setting, from the most focused and private to the most interactive, the people using the space will do the rest.

The success of a workplace scheme is so very often in the detail and not the vision. Spend less time on chiseling the mission statement and more on what it means to people at the micro level. They’ll look through your grand ambitions to see if their locker is big enough and there’s space in the kitchen for their muesli.

As buildings should be designed from the inside out (but rarely are) then the workplace should be designed from the kitchen cupboard out. I think it’s quite possible to think of everything.

Neil Usher
Workplace director, Sky

It’s important to continually observe, test, discuss, measure and be prepared to tweak and change the space, because no one wants to wait 15 years for the next crusade.
THE OFFICE IS ALIVE AND WELL

Research for *The Stoddart Review* shows that companies can no longer afford to ignore the performance lever that workplace offers.

- Mobile working is not the norm – 91% of UK employees still work solely from the office
- The workplace has become a major differentiator in the attraction, development and retention of talent
- The social value of workplace has profoundly changed to being a catalyst for community and cohesion
The role and impact of technology has changed the options of how and where people work, whether that is the office, home, coffee shop or all the spaces in between. Much has been written on this topic and much has been mooted around the idea of an increasingly mobile workforce and the impacts of the gig economy. Some organisations are starting to create ‘alternative workplace’ programmes that accommodate non-traditional work practices, settings and locations. More work is being done outside office hours too and the lines between work and non-work time are becoming increasingly blurred.

Faster and more accessible connectivity, big data and artificial intelligence are revolutionising the workforce and the spaces they inhabit. By 2030, 30% of corporate portfolios will comprise flexible space, including co-working, incubator and accelerator space, according to an article in JLL/Wired entitled ‘Workplace Reworked’. Based on our research for The Stoddart Review we suspect that this can be brought forward by 10 years.

And while the workplace is certainly changing, for now the data shows that for the vast majority of knowledge economy workers the office is still their primary place of work — 91% of employees are solely or predominantly based at a single location (the Leesman Index, 2015).

The idea of the nomadic worker is still far from the norm. While 15% of employees in the UK and Ireland are based at a non-allocated/flexible desk, 64% of UK and Ireland employees are based at ‘their own desk in an open plan area’, exploding the myth that no one has a desk any more. Moreover, for those companies who do offer remote and home-working the office still (and, in fact, possibly even increasingly) serves as the most effective enabler of people interaction. According to the Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD), only 7% of employees primarily work from home and at co-working sites.1

CHANGING THE WORKPLACE STATUS

There is a growing number of progressive employers that are prioritising need over status when making workplace choices. These companies understand that there has been an enormous change in the social value of workplace. Where their workplaces used to be the manifestation of power and hierarchy, now they affirm collaborative culture and a sense of community. Here, the workplace has become the source of coactive power (the opposite of coercive power) and as one of the Review contributors suggested, now needs to offer ‘exponential humanity to counterbalance exponential tech’.

These firms are focused on increasing the quantity and quality of interaction within a workplace, as evidenced by the popularity of collaboration and ‘collision’ spaces. This raises the issue of the relationship of that space to productivity.

Interviews with some of these forward-thinking companies show that progressive workplaces prioritise brand and manifestation of culture. For example, Skanska’s values include caring for the environment, supporting health and wellbeing and promoting green solutions – and its workplace strategy backs this up. As a result, Skanska’s employees report feeling proud to work in their building3 and they have created a high performance workplace.4

It is also clear from The Stoddart Review’s data that high-quality social infrastructure is a feature of high-performance collaborative teams, with the highest ‘sense of community’ satisfaction scores correlating to satisfaction with collaborative and collective activities. This social cohesion consistently returns the highest employee agreement that ‘the design my workplace enables me to work productively’.

UNLOCKING HUMAN POTENTIAL

In a hyper-competitive world, the workplace has become a differentiator in the attraction, development and retention of talent. According to a 2015 resources and talent planning survey

---

1. The gig economy is the current trend in which temporary positions are common and organisations contract with independent workers for short-term engagements.

2. Getting smart about agile working, CIPD, 2014. A survey of 12,322 employees in the UK, representative of the UK working population, and an additional sample of 508 individuals employed in ‘nonstandard’ jobs (for example, those in part-time, temporary roles and self-employed individuals).

3. 90% of Skanska employees reported feeling proud of their workplace, according to the Leesman Index®

4. Skanska scored 78.9/100 on the Leesman scale. Any score above 70 is considered high performing.
I t’s fair to say we are a workplace that’s still maturing,’ laughs Inga Beale, CEO of Lloyd’s of London. She and her staff, who support the most famous brokerage in the world, work in the iconic Richard Rogers building in the City of London. The building might be impressive but, says Beale, what is happening inside it needs adaptation. ‘It’s the fact we haven’t invested in technology and digitalisation,’ she says, ‘and people are doing what they’ve been doing for the last 20 years. We have very little remote working and very poor technology to enable remote working.’

Staff in the building complain that it is too dark and that there is no meeting space, as collaboration space is used for lunches, says Beale.

The company is looking at how best to adapt the building to a changing workforce. Beale and her colleagues are working towards digitalisation and to have the staff working in a much more interactive way.

Beale knows that reinventing the workplace will reap benefits beyond happy staff. Her goal is to create a workplace that will appeal to a new generation as well as benefiting existing workers. The talent base of the future will be drawn from industries where workplace/HR and tech have been intrinsically linked. ‘I want to attract tech-savvy people. People come into this environment and it feels so old-fashioned and they’re put off. We have a lot of work to do and a lot of investment, but there’s willingness.’

by CIPD, job candidates consider physical workplace a more important factor than leadership, CSR, technology and the diversity and inclusion agenda.

In addition, satisfaction with ‘a variety of different types of workspaces’ is the highest probability indicator that an employee will agree that ‘the design of their workplace enables them to work productively’, according to Leesman. It is clear this is the single biggest workplace lever for direct return on investment in human capital. Yet just 50% of Leesman’s UK & Ireland respondents agree that their workplace is a place they would be proud to bring visitors to (compared with 82% in Leesman’s category of high performance workplaces).

All of the data indicates a shifting psychological contract and goes against the idea that it is only millennials who want an office that works well and enables them. In fact, the clear message from the research for The Stoddart Review is that all employees want this, regardless of age.

This means it is critical to ensure that the workplace is performing to the best of its capabilities in order for businesses to stay agile, fast-moving and competitive. The devastating finding from the Leesman Index that only one in two office workers say they can work productively highlights the vital importance of ensuring that employers equip them to do the best they can and give them a reason to come into work.
THE DIFFERENCE MAKERS
The top three workplace features that high performing offices utilise more than average offices

Source: Leesman Index 2016

![Graph showing the top three workplace features utilised by high performing offices]

TYPES OF WORKERS ORGANISATIONS ARE CURRENTLY EMPLOYING
Percentage of respondents that selected each type (not mutually exclusive)

Source: CIPD Agile Working Report, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Private Sector</th>
<th>Public Sector</th>
<th>Voluntary Sector</th>
<th>SMEs</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees in fixed-term contracts</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casual workers (those who work on a flexible, irregular or 'as required' basis to fill a temporary need, but who are NOT supplied and paid a third party agency)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency workers supplied by a third party agency for periods of more than 12 weeks</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed - independent contractors/freelancers</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using volunteers</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE OFFICE IS ALIVE AND WELL
IN CONVERSATION WITH...

Doug Shaw
People and organisational development consultant, specialising in change and creative problem solving

The designer has to take responsibility for asking questions that blur edges and challenge people

Many years ago when I worked for BT, I worked nomadically. In terms of flexible working BT was an early adopter. I was based with Vodafone for a large part of my work. Getting me technological access was simple but integrating me into the physical environment proved really difficult. It is by no means alone. People often don’t design the workplace with outsiders in mind.

People who design workspaces need to be mindful that the people coming and going into that space are not always rank-and-file employees, regardless of their hierarchical position.

Recently I spent about 18 months on-and-off working with a big civil infrastructure project. Again, getting access to their physical space was a problem. I needed to be signed in and reception needed to know I was coming and there often wasn’t anywhere for me to work. Aside from the time and inconvenience factor there’s the fact that you don’t feel part of a team, virtually or otherwise, because no effort has been made to integrate you.

Yet, as more and more people begin to work freelance, these lines get blurred and the workplace needs to be better placed to anticipate transient workers. For example, as a freelancer, I should be able to come and go like any other employee. Access to systems should be as easy as possible. It shouldn’t be too complicated but sometimes it feels as though the people designing the workplace aren’t fully considering these things.

Recently I was talking to an architect who’d designed a beautiful new workspace but the window sills were being damaged by people sitting on them and using them as a relaxation space. The place wasn’t five minutes old and it was already having to be rethought because nobody had engaged the group of users to find out where they might want to work.

Part of being an expert is having the confidence to take on board other views. But so often experts feel they have to design the perfect workplace, which doesn’t really exist. A good workplace designer needs to acknowledge that it’s going to be gritty at first. If freelancing is on the rise, that needs to be thought about now, not retrofitted later.

But at the same time, the designer has to take responsibility for asking questions that blur edges and challenge people. Why is it OK for someone to step outside and have a cigarette and yet two people seen talking in a corridor is frowned upon, for example? If we want to encourage collaboration and chance encounters and so encourage cognitive dissonance, this sort of thing is just as important.

A workplace is wherever it may usefully present itself. It’s wherever I lay my hat. But one of the things that keep coming up time and again is the importance of choice and, to a lesser extent, unintended consequences of a workspace.
THE SMART OFFICE

Leveraging the workplace features you already have

OFFICE BUILDINGS HAVE HARDLY CHANGED IN 100 YEARS

Frank Lloyd Wright - Larkin Building 1906

Typical office interior 2016

50% 70%

More desks can fit into less space, but they are on average used 50% of the work day

70% of workers are disengaged and uninspired

Source: Gallup 2016

TO WHAT EXTENT IS AN EFFECTIVE WORKPLACE WITHIN AN EMPLOYEE’S CONTROL?

Source: Leesman Index 2016

Importance to employee
Average office satisfaction with service / feature
High performing office (L+) satisfaction with service / feature

TO WHAT EXTENT CAN EMPLOYEES OPERATE EFFECTIVELY WITH THE FEATURES THEIR EMPLOYERS GIVE THEM?

Source: Leesman Index 2016

Refreshment facilities
Restaurant/canteen
Personal storage
Wifi
Noise levels
People walking past your workstation

Source: Gallup 2016
Choose your building with care. Many of the attributes linked to your employees’ definition of an effective workplace are the result of base build design. Of course, there’s nothing stopping them from being augmented.

Leesman Index 2016

**The Features with the Highest Satisfaction Discrepancy Between High Performing and Average Offices**

- Atriums and communal areas: +37.6% points
- Informal work areas / break out zones: +36.4% points
- Variety of different types of workspace: +35.5% points
- General decor: +34.9% points
- General tidiness: +25.5% points

**The Features with the Lowest Satisfaction Discrepancy Between High Performing and Average Offices**

- Desk: +3.5% points
- Archive storage: +2.8% points
- Personal storage: +1.8% points
- IT service / help desk: -0.8% points
- Ability to personalise my workstation: -6.6% points
LEVERAGING THE WORKPLACE INDUSTRY

Creating an office that enables productivity begins with the right questions.

- Prioritise effective user experience over economy-focused, space-saving strategies
- Choose the reporting lines with care. The CFO, COO and CEO produce different emphases and outcomes
- The role of the chief workplace officer (CWO) is emerging
One of the greatest weaknesses of today’s workplace industry in the UK is that it is dominated by a silo approach – different packages of advice and outcomes come at different stages in the lifecycle of the workplace.

Important decisions on key elements such as budgeting, design, value engineering, fit out, furniture, behavioural/change management, post-occupancy evaluation, refits and workarounds all take place at different times with the people involved rarely working together towards common performance-driven outcomes. This affects the ability to deliver a continuous strategy.

The result of this mode of operation is that the workplace industry constantly appears to be pursuing the next best thing. It is susceptible to being seduced by trends rather than pushing its clients to innovate from within. This in turn leads to the industry being seen in negative terms. In other words, the industry has an image problem.

**REPORTING LINES**

One key determinant of this fragmented approach to workplace strategy is the reporting lines that many companies follow. The traditional reporting lines of facilities management into the financial director and chief operating officer often guarantee a traditional, cost-driven approach. However, it is clear that progressive employers who see workplace, tech and human capital on an equal footing are changing those reporting lines. A direct line into the chief executive officer creates different parameters and opportunities.

The companies that have contributed to this research have made clear that reporting lines matter when considering the link between strategic workplace and organisational intent. The companies who are doing it successfully appear to be learning directly from their employees about their workplace needs. They are then training their custodians of the workplace, whether executives or individuals embedded as workplace advisors, to focus on performance-driven outcomes from their advisors and supply chain.

PokerStars (see page 27) has changed the reporting lines, spent time coaching the CEO and taken a disciplined approach to measurement. At Thomsons Online Benefits (see page 33), workplace decisions are made based on defined productivity measures and a clear understanding of staff needs.

A clearly articulated and demonstrable contribution to the organisational value proposition, rather than an operational cost focus, holds the key. Those who differentiate between the stakeholder priorities of the CEO, CFO and CTO will build an integrated case which addresses each of them.

**Focusing on productivity gains rather than just operational savings will always deliver greater long-term benefits**

**BALANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY**

The overwhelming verdict of companies that contributed to this research was that the design and fit-out process was systematically tipped against those responsible for the workplace. Office projects have for too long been procured by teams whose objectives are financially driven rather than people-driven. According to evidence presented to the Review by design teams, the majority of projects are cost-planned before the workplace designers have taken a brief, and designers are allowed minimal to zero engagement with the end users or the executive board. This inevitably results in lower levels of employee satisfaction.

Dan Pilling, workplace designer at Maber Architects and deputy chair of the BIFM Workplace Special Interest Group, says, ‘I would be embedded within some client facilities management teams and I found time and again that they were frustrated at being locked out of some key decisions.’ He proposed a ‘centralised portal’ which would ‘enable all stakeholders in the workplace sector to access and participate in what I feel is lacking an objective view, free from sales angles, or workplace solutions tied to specific products and sensationalist Google-esque case studies’.

But this is slowly starting to change, says Pilling. ‘What’s happening now is that there have been very interesting conversations about who is in control and who should be at that table. The fact is we have a multifaceted problem which needs multifaceted input.’

The research carried out for The Stoddart Review into what the industry can do for companies’ workplace strategy, end-user
experience and productivity highlighted a number of recurring themes:

- There is a business case for prioritising effective user experience over economy-focused space-saving strategies
- Choose the reporting lines with care. The CFO, COO and CEO produce different emphases and outcomes
- There is a need for a new role as an interlocutor between the needs of the individual teams/business units and the infrastructure teams that deliver them
- Organisations can be better clients by owning the writing and development of a clear and simple brief.

This last point is vitally important. A simple brief enables everyone in the process to work towards a sensible business case, to avoid mis-selling pitfalls and to start commissioning solutions based on what employees need rather than on the latest trends. But it is important to remember that a simple brief belies the amount of collective time and effort to get it right. As Neil Usher, workplace director for Sky, says, “It’s worth remembering that the brief isn’t the solution. It’s an aspiration drawn from the data and stories captured and the possibilities the journey prompts.”

However, in building this persuasive business case it is crucial to differentiate between different stakeholders’ priorities and to build an integrated case that addresses each of them. But in the experience of some of the executives consulted for this review, the workplace industry has so far failed to properly articulate this. The industry must get better at bridging the gaps, acting as a translator between operations and board level and demonstrating the business case clearly.

Despite these obvious gaps, our research has highlighted several good examples. Strategic outsourcing and energy services company Mitie (see page 37) has linked its service directly to the business strategy of its clients. An app under development at Cushman & Wakefield evaluates how effective people’s meetings are. This allows instant ratings on room, facilities, IT, timing, effectiveness and even whether a meeting is needed at all. Application of marginal gains theory1 means some are focusing on the individual and their performance.

**WORKPLACE SHOULD ALWAYS BE IN BETA**

Although there are examples of innovation taking place and pockets of good practice, the facilities management and broader workplace industry as a whole is still selling its products and services into companies rather than pushing its client base to innovate from within.

Overwhelmingly, people are expecting to get the workplace design right first time and are then leaving it alone for the next 10 years. Demands on the workplace are constantly evolving and to maximise its impact in a fast-growth world means seeing it as a constant work in progress and budgeting and planning accordingly.

However, there are many examples of commissions that started with headroom for innovation and evolution but which had become full by the time they were completed because they were built to a static allocation model. The industry is being hamstrung by rules of its own making and it needs to up its game.

The workplace is an asset which, like any other, needs regular attention so it does not become a liability. Decision makers should be finding out directly from their employees what their workplace needs are and training their workplace advisors to performance-driven outcomes. Workplace transformation is not a project to be undertaken once a decade or a project to be outsourced and forgotten about. Good workplace design is key to performance, engagement and the bottom line and this makes it a really crucial consideration for all business leaders.

---

1 Marginal gains theory suggests that small incremental improvements in any process add up to a significant improvement when they are all added together.

**Example performance metrics**

**ALIGNING SPACE WITH STAKEHOLDER PRIORITIES**

**Process (£1.5m**): Business Performance

**People (£25m***): Brand & Values

**Place (£5m***): Agility & Flexibility

The building as a dynamic system to support business priorities

---

“**The fact is we have a multifaceted problem which needs multifaceted input**”

Dan Pilling, workplace designer at Maber Architects

---

Looks and feels like a great place to work

Enables people to do their best work

Supports cost reduction & business change

---

The building as a dynamic system to support business priorities

---

* Source: Despina Katsikakis

** Total salary cost per year

*** Value of 1 hour of productive time per year

Source: Despina Katsikakis
Chief workplace officer

The Stoddart Review has shown that the interface between people, place and process should be the preserve of a specialist with appropriate levels of access and influence. This role is now meaningful for the first time because of the enormous availability of data. Scandinavia seems to be leading the way. Exemplifying this is Ericsson’s recent appointment of a global head of workplace experience, Charlotte Ivars, with a budget of SEK 2 billion. Airbnb’s CHRO, Mark Levy, recently changed his job title to chief employee experience officer. It’s clear that leading global organisations are changing the way that functions and stages of the process integrate.

The Stoddart Review uses the shorthand of chief workplace officer (CWO) to describe this role. The CWO removes obstacles, fosters collaboration and oversees an environment in which peer-to-peer information sharing, collaboration and production can occur. The CWO acts as a ‘super-connector’ who knows the right people to turn to and who is able to match the right people to the right opportunities. This CWO can develop integrated business cases and acts as the interpreter between individual team/business unit needs and the infrastructure teams that deliver them.

Where does your board look to for this elusive individual? Good role models already exist within facilities management, HR, corporate real estate and IT. Julie Kortens, Chairman, BIFM, says, ‘As the nature of the economy is changing to one biased towards intellectual outputs over production outputs, so too we are seeing a change in the value placed on professionals who act as workforce facilitators, making marginal gains in performance in each and every employee. The facilities management profession brings together people, place and process to enable business, and in this new smarter, leaner, faster world, skills in this area will be a source of competitive advantage for business. However, as the demand rises so too does the need for the profession to ensure it is upskilled and ready to help transform business productivity.’

Making the business case for design

Steve Henigan at global architecture practice Perkins & Will

The vast majority of design and architecture practices have failed to imbed suitably experienced business strategy disciplines within the workplace services it offers, allowing the global real estate advisory mega-firms to take this ground. Steve Henigan has the rare job title of principal of workplace practice at global architecture and design firm Perkins & Will. He started his professional career as a surveyor and then moved through various business process consultancy roles before specialising in workplace. Henigan believes that one of his key attributes is that he does not have a design background. ‘I understand the need for, and have an appreciation for, good design but am acutely aware that a design project based on a weak business case is flawed from the outset. Our clients are increasingly positioning the physical workplace as a tool for change in organisational performance and competitive advantage, and to do that, they need to allow me to get under the skin of their business problems and practices.’

‘It’s astonishing,’ says Ian Ellison from workplace knowledge and action research partnership 3edges, ‘how the majority of organisations are at risk of being unwittingly on the back foot when they approach the industry, expecting them to solve their spatial challenges. Without being able to explicitly articulate their strategic outcome needs, they risk being blindsided by generic solutions rather than getting what they deserve, which is focused attention seeking to translate their specific requirements from the inside out. Every organisation is culturally unique, and organisations need to embrace the power their uniqueness brings. We’ve talked about the “intelligent client” for years, but sat as 3edges does, on the boundary between client needs and industry provision, it is patently clear that this needs far more work when it comes to enabling workplaces.’
Productivity for us is about the whole workplace experience. Measuring it in itself is hard so we try to measure satisfaction in more of a holistic way. We use the Leesman survey but we try to understand which of the different measures are more important to us and, crucially, our staff. If the satisfaction is low we then know where to allocate our funds. Then we use the scores to see whether we’ve achieved that.

The important thing for our staff is different work settings. Open-plan was a great idea back in the day but has created a nightmare for facilities management from a satisfaction point of view. You have some people who are very happy because they can chat to people all day long but then you have other people who aren’t because they want to be isolated.

Internationally the principles are much the same. A building is a building and a mechanical and electrical install is the same. But the metrics change. In the UK, for example, there is no law on density but in Australia the law is 100 sq ft per person. My teams across the world try to deliver the same thing.

Our workplace operations department recommends how to do things based on our staff satisfaction levels. We would go to the board and say, ‘People are saying air conditioning is important to them.’ Satisfaction is 20%. That means to us that either there is a big problem with the mechanical plant or it’s an issue because of open plan. We would then look at the Leesman top 10 buildings and see that the average is something like 28% so we know not to spend money on it. But our chairs are 20% and top 10 is 80% so this is why we’re going to spend money on it. We explain our rationale to the board and back it up.

Our board is pretty open to what we say. We are luckier than other companies in that we are taken seriously by the C-suite. In other companies I get the sense that people think facilities management are just pixies who run around cleaning toilets and replacing paper. I used to report direct to the CEO and I was able to work with him to make the workspace better by identifying best practice. One technique is to use case studies to show that they have added value. If you can do that they will believe you. It is important to carry out a pre- and post-project survey. If your post-project survey shows that the workforce is happier, then you can demonstrate that. But it doesn’t always happen overnight and often takes time.

We have a three-year strategy. By using staff satisfaction levels we can have an action plan showing areas that need improvement and we can filter it against other similar companies. Then we communicate this to the office. Year-on-year we have always shown improvements.

I was lucky. I had a direct route into the CEO and I managed to convince them. We’re a money-spending business but we’re spending money to improve the workforce and the workplace. It can be very difficult for a CEO to sign off money when they think it’s just you making things pretty. If you can say you’re doing it via an industry-respected metric, then they’re going to start listening to you.

PokerStars was founded in 2001 with 350 employees in four locations. Since then it has grown by almost 500% and today has 2,000 people across eight locations.
AGILITY IS ESSENTIAL TO SUCCESS

Every business needs to behave like they are a fast-growth business.

- Business agility is no longer a luxury, it is critical to survival
- Speed and cost are now equally important and are now determining the shape of real estate portfolios
- Alignment between workplace and purpose is a key tool in managing agility
Modern businesses strive to move forward in the face of strong headwinds such as unprecedented digital change and new open business models. They also face new challenges in terms of access to talent, particularly talent that is able to find new value in innovative ways. These headwinds were felt throughout our research process, emphasising the need for agility in the workplace and from those supporting it.

Founder and executive chairman of the World Economic Forum, Klaus Schwab, said, 'It isn’t the biggest fish eating the smaller fish anymore, but the fastest fish that wins.' Andrew Liveris, CEO of The Dow Chemical Company, puts it slightly differently: 'All innovation has become short cycle; long cycle is a luxury companies can’t afford. Speed is survival.'

The average tenure of a Fortune 500 CEO is 4.8 years, reports Forbes. Business agility is no longer a luxury; it is critical for survival. According to PwC’s Annual Global CEO Survey for 2015, the restless pursuit of ‘what’s new’ has meant that 51% of CEOs planned to enter into new strategic alliances or joint ventures in 2015. Changes in corporate structure such as mergers and acquisitions put pressure on the workplace industry to deliver an agile work environment that allows businesses to jump on opportunities faster.

Lease lengths, International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) accounting regulations, office supply and divestment strategies have created lag times and inflexibility that contradict the shorter cycle of business today. 'If yesterday’s workplace strategy focused on moves and changes, today’s has to juggle supply and demand. With it there has been an elevation [for workplace professionals] in scope, line of sight of P&L, and ability to see workplace as part of a portfolio of solutions,' says Julian Griffith, sales director, EMEA, at Condeco.

Speed and cost are now equally important and are now determining the shape of real estate portfolios. These portfolios now comprise a core space on longer leases supplemented with flexible space on short leases and co-working space. This portfolio shape enables businesses to handle peaks and troughs with headcount and navigate longer term supply and demand in the wider marketplace.

**AGILITY AND SOCIAL COHESION**

Fast-growth businesses consistently demonstrate that business agility is contingent on a socially cohesive organisation. Human beings are social animals and work is a social institution. Long-term relationships (whether networking, friendships or even marriages) are often formed at work. In the best workplaces employers recognise that their staff want to forge these relationships and that company allegiance can be built or strengthened from such things. In short, collaboration is contingent on social infrastructure.

This is backed up by research from Gallup, which identified 12 dimensions of a healthy workplace. One of the key dimensions was the presence of a best friend at work. The study showed that employees who reported having a best friend at work were 21% more likely to report that at work 'they have the opportunity to do what they do best every day'.

**EVERY BUSINESS IS A TECHNOLOGY BUSINESS**

Almost all successful businesses rely on technology in some way. Employers are competing for people who deliver value in new ways. A ubiquitous talent platform transferrable to any organisation, whether start-up, scale-up or established, gives a significant competitive advantage. Even where tech is not part of the service offer, it plays a crucial role in customer service, supply chain, HR management and promotion. Retail is a great example of a sector with a fundamentally different business model, synonymous with tech.

However, just because a company needs technologists does not mean it needs an office space like Google; it needs to serve the needs of the people working there. The workplace needs to scale up and down with a degree of flexibility that traditional space planning approaches cannot accommodate and provide the core basics well. Our data consistently shows that those needs have more to do with ease of use and ability to accommodate choice than it does Alpine gondola meeting pods and slides, however impressive they look in glossy design magazines.

Paradoxically, businesses cannot rely on tech as a differentiator. While technology is the enabler of any workplace, it is not the differentiator between the average office and the high-performing office. However, tech
AGILITY IS ESSENTIAL TO SUCCESS

The Review found that Leesman’s high performing workplaces are adaptable. Agreements are entered with one another, properly integrated to perform workplaces. Agility requires workspaces to be fit for both collaborative and insular thinking. Executives from companies such as Salesforce, PokerStars and Thomson’s Online Benefits keep workplace, tech and people in step with one another, properly integrated and adaptable.

THE HIGHER PURPOSE

The Review found that Leesman’s high performers and the high-growth companies both demonstrate transformation through purpose. An effective alignment between workplace and purpose is not in itself new, but it emerged as a key tool in managing agility. Purpose helps people to believe they can emerge from the turbulence as winners.

Looking back at workplace theory, in the 1950s psychologist Frederick Herzberg proposed that people who felt good about their jobs were more motivated. For many, his motivation-hygiene theory still forms the basis of good workplace practice. Global construction company Lendlease has a mantra of sending people home each day ‘healthier and happier’, says Duncan Young. ‘I’m an evidence-based strategist. Health is related to engagement and productivity.’ Workers wear accelerometers to determine their sitting time and inactivity. There are organisational interventions such as walking and standing meetings. ‘The workplace is very important for overall health,’ says Young. ‘But the interventions you have are equally important. The World Health Organisation says we spend a third of our lives at work so it’s the best place to fight poor health.’

Lendlease also uses behavioural economics techniques such as ensuring workers walk past bowls of fruit and providing discretionary healthy food. But there is always room for improvement, says Young. ‘The physical workplace provides an enormous opportunity for organisational change.’

Lendlease says that it incorporates the importance of overall health into its workplaces. ‘We have short, sharp meetings less than 30 minutes and we do them standing,’ says Young. ‘We encourage active commuting with end-of-trip facilities and secure bike parking, showers and lockers and sometimes even towels. We can’t create any more hours in the day so we maximise the time we’ve got.’

Companies that are authentic in their own dealings tend to attract a more engaged workforce. A good workplace removes the barriers that stop people working effectively and sends a powerful message to people that they are valued. That, in turn, fosters engagement.

THE CONSUMERISATION OF TECH

Tech also brings instant gratification syndrome. It has made us fickle and impatient in our expectations of the tools we have been given to do our jobs. The workplace needs to move fast and flexibly enough to stay relevant and capture the imagination of current and future employees. The consumerisation of tech has made a difference. Tech and workplace go hand in hand, yet in many offices the technology disappoints. Many companies cited the need for faster tech upgrade cycles, or allowing workers to bring their own devices. Many talked about the importance of video conferencing, which is backed up by the Leesman data, as video conference facilities improved Leesman + buildings’ scores by 21.7 percentage points. They also talked of the need to properly accommodate freelance and dispersed workers.

Modern businesses need to be agile to survive and the workplace needs to keep up with this. The clear message is that with the right investment in technology and workforce the existing institutional barriers such as lease length do not have to stand in the way of agility. But the industry will not provide unconventional solutions on a plate. Business leaders need to create and negotiate alternative provision models that suit their needs.

1 Instant gratification is the desire to experience pleasure or fulfilment without delay or deferment.

Sources: PwC, WRK/LDN Conference
CASE STUDY

Salesforce

‘We must cultivate a workplace culture where everyone is encouraged to share information widely’
Andrew Lawson, senior vice president, North Europe, Middle East & Africa and UKI managing director

Worth $55 billion, Salesforce is one of the Fortune 500’s fastest-growing companies, increasing sales by more than 30% for the past four years straight. This rapid growth has forced it to stay agile, and it has developed a workplace philosophy that is all about ‘Ohana’, the idea in Hawaiian culture that family members are all responsible for one another. ‘Every single person knows that they’re playing an important role, regardless of their division or job function,’ says Andrew Lawson, senior VP, North Europe, Middle East & Africa and UKI managing director at Salesforce.

That Ohana culture extends to the workplace as well, he says. ‘In order to move fast, stay agile, constantly optimize our programmes and processes and to continue to transform our industry, we must cultivate a workplace culture where everyone is encouraged to share information widely and feel confident about sharing their opinions. Great ideas can come from anyone, at any level of the organisation.’

Input is encouraged at all levels, says Lawson. ‘We want all employees to have a voice in how we run our business. We do this by encouraging feedback at all levels throughout the business and by using the latest technology platforms to solicit input and respond to our employees.’

Listening is a core part of Salesforce’s corporate DNA, and conversations happen via Chatter (its collaboration platform). ‘With Chatter,’ says Lawson, ‘all of our employees are instantly connected, motivated and engaging with each other. They take to Chatter to share insights or propose new ideas. Salesforce recognizes that the feedback received from its employees is fundamental both to employee satisfaction and to our continued success.’

Still, productivity is not measured in any concrete way at Salesforce, Lawson says. But employee feedback is good and, says Lawson, ‘We’re continually collecting it.’

Planning the needs for the Salesforce workplace is done on an ongoing basis. And again it comes down to the bottom line. With a company growing as fast as Salesforce, constant communication, complete alignment and agility is crucial.
FACTORS THAT AFFECT PRODUCTIVITY AND PERFORMANCE

Source: The Leesman Index 2016

AGILITY IS ESSENTIAL TO SUCCESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Collaborating on creative work</td>
<td>-15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Collaborating on focused work</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Informal social interaction</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Informal, unplanned meetings</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Learning from others</td>
<td>-9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hosting visitors, clients or customers</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Larger group meetings or audiences</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Planned meetings</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Video conferences</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Individual focused work, desk based</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Individual routine tasks</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Reading</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Thinking / creative thinking</td>
<td>-18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Business confidential discussions</td>
<td>-15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Private conversations</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Telephone conversations</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Audio conferences</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Individual focused work away from your desk</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Relaxing / taking a break</td>
<td>-20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Spreading out paper or materials</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Using technical / specialist equipment</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I have driven and continue to drive the culture of values behind Thomsons and I am convinced that it is the original culture and behaviours that allow us to be productive as a business.

We are a client-focused business but for certain types of people, and in particular software engineering, it doesn’t matter where in the world they work. They are more productive in an environment they’re enjoying.

In the good old days we’d measure productivity with time and motion. Now we use the Net Promoter Score, in which you work out your percentage of detractors and promoters. We ask staff the question every quarter and this is how we see whether someone in the direct client space is being productive.

We believe that if you take care of the clients, the revenue takes care of itself.

In terms of the workspace, we believe that it provides a stable home to go to for work. But the workplace has to be representative of the company values. Our offices all feel and look very similar. We don’t want to be overly funky. We do have things in place such as clear-desk policies, no knee-holes under the desk, lots of meeting spaces and half as many soft-seating areas as desks. This encourages people to be more comfortable. So the workplace is important but not so much so that you need to do things for effect. There’s no point if it doesn’t match your culture.

We have never had facilities management but we do have people looking after the office infrastructure. The tech guy runs the hardware but the people who look after the facilities work in HR, in conjunction with me. I am not a designer but I have a clear picture of how you bond people with brand and environment. We have 500 people in the business. And I tend to sit down with the GM in each area with a core theme and vision of what I want to achieve. Then we adapt it locally. But the core values are the same.

A workplace strategy doesn’t have to come from someone who works in facilities. It just has to come from someone who understands the business. When people come to our offices, they feel who we are before they see who we are. You can’t create buzz by putting stuff on the walls but you can create an environment where people feel productive.

Four years ago my CTO told me that the engineers were going to work in Whistler, Canada, for the season. I was, naturally, quite concerned but I went along with it. We measured their productivity in terms of coding output. Compared with the London office, they produced 25% more while working fewer hours. I was gobsmacked. From then on we have allowed much greater flexibility for that type of worker. It works for them because they don’t have to be on the telephone at a set time and you can measure quality and volume of their output.

---

**Thomsons Online Benefits**

‘The workplace provides a stable home to go to for work’

*Michael Whitfield, CEO, Thomsons Online Benefits*

---

**The Value of a CWO**

Thomsons’ system works well because of an embedded CWO function in touch with the whole business and an embedded culture of trust, autonomy and choice manifested in an outcome-based performance-measurement system, enabled by intelligent workplace choice. Great tools when standing in those headwinds.
WHERE TECHNOLOGY FITS IN

Smart businesses are creating smart workplaces in service of people.

- The rapid changes in technology reinforce the fact that there is no such thing as a one-size-fits-all workplace.
- If tech and workplace enable, then tech, workplace and culture empower.
- With careful leadership consideration, the tech-enabled workplace can become the most humane workplace.
The modern workplace has been transformed by technological advances such as digitalisation, automation and the Internet of Things (IoT). 1 While tech innovation is a constant and fast-moving process, it is important to focus on what is available now within the range of affordability and skillsets rather than become distracted by future-gazing deep into the digital age.

Enlightened practitioners of workplaces are now taking a tech-focused strategy, from retrofitting offices to purpose-built buildings, to achieve the best results. These results are possible as the IoT and available information enable greater individualisation and customisation, greater performance optimisation through data analytics, and greater agility that promotes results-driven intervention and management. Gartner predicts that IoT deployment in commercial buildings will continue to grow at a rapid pace over the next few years and will include more than a billion connected things by 2018. However, UK companies are only just starting to grapple with how to get the most out of their workspaces and many are still only beginning to address the immediate considerations that were laid out in sections 1 to 4 of *The Stoddart Review*.

The rapid changes in technology reinforce the fact that there is no such thing as a one-size-fits-all workplace. Within a workplace each business function or team has different needs. Workplace, enabled through tech, can therefore be viewed as a series of interconnecting eco-systems.

Tech has been the great enabler of our workscape – the wider portfolio of formal and informal space where we now work – and this reinforces the idea that employees do not need to have a specific work location to carry out their operations and meet their goals. Technology and real-estate expert Antony Slumbers has said that the workplace will only remain relevant as somewhere ‘exponential humanity counterbalances exponential technology’. Slumbers is talking about great design, somewhere that inspires people, a place for creation, somewhere people use their imagination, a place where empathy abounds, where ingenuity and innovation is what matters, and a space for collaboration.

Industry leaders were keen for our researchers to understand the difference between organisations that use tech to enable their employees, rather than to demand more from them, either explicitly or implicitly. If tech and workplace enable, then tech, workplace and culture empower.

Employers who are ahead of the curve when it comes to workplace design are already very aware of these elements and are striving to instil them. This is demonstrated in the Leesman+ responses, which consistently show higher scores for social infrastructure and other aspects (such as the opportunity to have chance meetings) that encourage collaborative working.

**SMART WORKPLACE**

OVG’s Amsterdam building, The Edge (of which the main tenant is Deloitte), is one of the smartest workplaces in the world. It’s a tipping point project for real estate developers, as it gathers information on the workers’ needs and responds to them. Workspaces within the building are allocated according to specific scheduled needs and once workers are there, things such as light and temperature are modified according to personal preference. The Edge is also the greenest building in the world, with a BREEAM rating of 98.4% – the highest score ever awarded.

---

1 The Internet of Things is a network of internet-connected objects able to collect and exchange data using embedded sensors without requiring human-to-human interaction or human-to-computer interaction.
Deloitte constantly collects data on how staff inside the building interact with each other. A central dashboard tracks everything from energy use to coffee machine performance. This means that when fewer staff are expected on certain days, whole offices can be closed down, minimising heating and lighting costs. While data collation is part of the equation, so too is the need for human behaviour to be as adaptive. For Deloitte to realise the benefit of closing areas down, their people need to be able and willing to work somewhere else. Behaviour and culture have to be programmed into the new workplace genome.

There has also been an increase in the number of products that help deliver smarter individual performance. A good example is wellness tech that can lead to higher levels of worker satisfaction. A report by ABI Research, Wearable Wireless Devices in Enterprise Wellness Programs, predicts that by 2018 at least 13 million workplace wearable devices will be integrated into wellness programmes. At The Edge, smartphones are used to find colleagues, manage gym bookings and adjust heating and lighting temporarily to reflect personal preferences. To facilitate this, work areas are equipped with built-in wireless phone chargers.

A 2014 study from Stanford University found that creative thinking improved while a person was walking and shortly thereafter. Outside of a lab environment, organisations such as Lendlease are using new technology to measure how much time employees are spending at their desk or walking around. Measuring this allows them to instigate schemes to encourage people to walk more in the office or engage in active commuting (and this can be encouraged in the physical workplace by providing end-of-trip facilities such as showers and bike parking). Companies are now able to measure the peaks for runners and walkers and rework strategy accordingly. ‘When you provide great facilities it encourages people to use them,’ says head of workplace and wellbeing, Duncan Young. Tech plays a key role in getting this right. Lendlease encourages its staff to wear heart-rate variability monitors. These monitors show whether people are in ‘fight’ or ‘flight’ mode. Once this has been observed the company has a behavioural change system in place to educate staff on how to breathe properly, how to make the most from micro-breaks and to develop a healthy sleep and eating routine. This all ties back into the productivity benefit. Seminal studies of elite athletes suggest that top performers sleep on average eight hours and 36 minutes compared with the average person who sleeps for six hours and 51 minutes. Research by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) suggests that promoting a culture that improves the health and welfare of employees leads to a healthy and productive workplace as it reduces the occurrence of

By using tech that gathers quantifiable data – such as seat sensors to show how much time people are at their desk – companies can then better develop workplace solutions focused on the end users

---

We don’t believe there is a one-size-fits-all answer to measuring productivity, says Mark Tyson, solutions director at Mitie Energy. ‘The answer lies in individual companies’ own productivity measures and linking them to the built environment they occupy.’

UK plcs are dependent on advancements in science, technology and knowledge as a competitive advantage, says Tyson. Individuals’ cognitive function is key to this and Mitie uses the idea of marginal gains theory (breaking everything down to its constituent parts and improving them all by 1%) to show that small improvements in recruitment, motivation, wellbeing and environment can positively affect cognitive performance.

Mitie is collaborating with Red Bull Racing to provide advanced building telemetry at its Milton Keynes factory. At the heart of the solution sit live data and the building’s users. Mitie is collecting and analysing live environmental data from the factory buildings using custom-built sensor technology. This works on the principle that monitored variables such as air quality, light levels and humidity can affect both physical manufacturing processes and human performance. Collecting this data allows the company to optimise working environments for enhanced performance.

‘If you can’t measure it you can’t improve it is the initial wave of focus from our teams,’ says Jamie Hall, head of analytics at the company, which is also working with Sheffield Hallam University on an Innovate UK Knowledge Transfer Partnership (KTP). ‘We are keen to introduce true transparency and measurement of variables that haven’t been measured closely before (such as air quality and noise) and their relationship with existing FM and maintenance data. The next stage for us is working with the academic community to develop evaluation models on optimum working conditions, linking to wellbeing strategies and overall productivity.’

‘One of the main aims of the KTP is to learn what constitutes the most comfortable and productive conditions for a range of employee groups,’ says Hall. ‘For example, what are the optimum conditions a knowledge worker needs to perform at their best? Through improving productivity, we will improve employees’ output (both the quantity they produce and the quality of that output), helping businesses to grow.’

He adds, ‘Over time we believe there will be models, rules, algorithms which apply to demonstrable productivity improvements at team or activity level. These activities will then be cross-referenced between businesses as a lot of activity is duplicated such as in the back-office for example.’

What this will mean, says Tyson, is the creation of roles within data science, ‘to advise on the best actions companies should take based on what they are learning about their built environment and their employees’. This, he says, ‘will inevitably mean a crossover from FM and property into HR, IT and long-term business strategy’. 
Rather than isolating and alienating workers as one might assume, workplace technology can bring them together and facilitate greater levels of collaboration and innovation.

workplace illness (which it estimates leads to around 27 million lost working days per year and costs the economy £13.4 billion annually).

FOCUS ON STAFF
Organisations that want to be successful in using technology to improve the workplace must start with the staff in mind rather than jumping on the latest tech trends.

By using tech that gathers quantifiable data – such as seat sensors to show how much time people are at their desk – companies can then better develop workplace solutions focused on the end users. Unless those planning the workplace have a clear understanding of the needs of its users, the tech cannot be implemented properly. The best solutions are created with the employees in mind.

It might be tempting to picture this tech-enabled future workplace as a soulless place, run for and by robots. But the strong message from the research is that with careful leadership consideration, the tech-enabled workplace can also become the most humane workplace. ADP Research Institute’s 2018 Evolution of Work study of more than 2,400 employees and employers across 13 countries found that, while there is a sense of fear that increased technology will lead to automation and job loss, 37% of UK staff are technology advocates. They believed it improved connections with co-workers and employers, made their working life easier and helped them operate more effectively with global staff, not to mention reducing travel costs. A recent report on co-working from Corenet Global suggests that in the long term, VR, AR and even holographic solutions will enable remote workers to satisfy their own social needs virtually so the workplace can be tech-enabled to improve the environment of people who are not even in the building.

The Leesman data supports these innovations. It sees that offices that are making good use of the tech available, such as sensors to control temperature, lighting and IoT, have the highest satisfaction with their workplace because it is a comfortable place for people to work in.

Both the qualitative and quantitative research shows that rather than isolating and alienating workers as one might assume, workplace technology can bring them together and facilitate greater levels of collaboration and innovation.

Of course, a multitude of factors will equip the workplace for future business success and each organisation will continue to have its own priorities based on its workforce and outputs. But we are confident that what successful businesses will all have in common is that they have shifted workplace further up the value chain.
The social value of the workplace has changed and this is particularly relevant with renewed focus on mental health issues in the workplace. There is so much data around how people are so much happier when they feel connected to others in the workplace.

People who have friends at the office are at least four times happier at work than those who don’t and 71% of millennials want their co-workers to be a second family. So this notion of being connected and having a sense of community becomes a really critical issue.

The real power behind the growth in the co-working environment is around belonging to a creative community, a creative ecosystem. And the more we become seamlessly virtually connected, the more important physical place becomes to bring us together in very meaningful ways.

The physical environment is constantly giving messages that influence behaviour, and you can either use that as an organisation or ignore it. Sadly, the majority of organisations ignore it.

The open-plan office was designed by Frank Lloyd Wright at the turn of the century and it was designed to enable the uninterrupted flow of work and clear visual supervision. It worked exceptionally well but in 100 years a huge amount has changed and not so much has changed in the physical environment.

We know now that open-plan offices tend to be the antithesis of collaboration, sometimes actually promoting isolation. But if you begin to layer the work environment with places that support connection, places that allow people to be open and spontaneous and accessible to one another, you can actually create the opportunity for innovation through collaboration.

If workplace professionals were rewarded on increasing the perception that the organisation looks and feels like a great place to work, then their driver would be very different in terms of what they were delivering.

To truly enable a people-centric workplace you absolutely have to have management vision and practices. You need to have the right culture and behaviour and you need to have the right space, technology and services. Unless you have those policies and practices in place and people are walking the talk, there’s no point.
Commercial buildings will have more than 500 million ‘connected things’ during 2016.

Source: Gartner
INDIVIDUAL THERMAL CLOUDS are localised heating and cooling systems which can provide a precise, personal climate for each occupant using an array of responsive infrared heating elements that are guided by sophisticated motion tracking.

INTERNET OF THINGS allows technology to intercommunicate, and is possibly the greatest asset of the ‘smart’ office. For example, an employee’s calendar will see it has a meeting at 10am and will pre-emptively arrange the AV equipment in the conference room.

APP CONTROL is used at Deloitte’s Amsterdam HQ in The Edge building, where workers can control the lights, heating and blinds via an app.
The last word

Can your business afford to ignore the opportunity cost of an effective workplace?

This exercise has revealed much. All of it intriguing, some of it exciting, and parts of it contradictory and counter-intuitive. The Review process could have continued for years and turned further stones. But there is a wider discussion now to be had. Because the macro case is compelling; one in two employees can’t report their workplace supports their productivity.

The scope for improvement is tremendous, nationally and individually, business by business. And why, with employees representing 90% of an organisation’s cost base, would businesses not be driven to invest financially and intellectually in ensuring that their working environment will optimise employee performance?

There is no silver bullet for the workplace productivity debate – no panacea workplace plan. But we saw consistent evidence that careful planning, rigorous feedback from its end-users, regular measurement and acceptance that space will always be in beta, delivers a high-performing workplace.

We also found skills gaps: design projects based on a weak business case will always be flawed from the outset. Here both clients, design teams and supply chain need a ‘super connector’, because design that is disconnected from the needs of the employee is guaranteed to deliver sub-optimal outcomes.

But consistently we saw that successful business cases address the perspectives of multiple functional stakeholders, and successful design puts the end user first. Very simply, the business case, the design brief and workplace in operation, needs to be people-centric.

But the market is grappling with new magnitudes of data. Utilisation studies may be de rigueur; but they are falsely focusing attention on strategies to increase the number of people in the space. These strategies inhibit effectiveness and confuse space efficiency with space productivity.

We also grappled with the populist reports of the dominance of the distributed workspace and the prodigious rise of co-working. Both could suggest the office is diminishing in importance. This Review believes not. The data shows that while these phenomena are having dramatic impact on start-ups and the smaller SMEs, there’s a significant time lag as businesses adjust to these new trends.

There was repeated evidence that new business models are reliant on different behaviours and were highlighting the criticality of social infrastructure as a catalyst for collaboration. This is perhaps self-evident, but the importance of investment in these areas cannot be over-emphasised.

But we repeatedly heard that these are the first areas to be value-engineered out of a design solution, and this is simply because the value has failed to be articulated. This data now exists, and shows that the right investment dramatically improves perceived effectiveness.

The highest achievers begin by understanding the diversity and complexity of their employees’ work, and build solutions around this core knowledge. And all roads lead back to workplace – whether in projecting brand values, reflecting purpose, changing behaviours or underpinning employee engagement. Workplace is undoubtedly a differentiator; and much has been said about its role in attracting and retaining talent, particularly where that talent base is in common across multiple sectors.

But the workplace industry is still selling its products and services into companies rather than pushing its client base to innovate from within. Can you re-orientate your thinking away from buying siloed advice to work with a variety of workplace professionals for different workstreams to secure performance-based outcomes within an integrated strategy?

This comes down perhaps to having a workplace champion. Who is your chief workplace officer or head of employee experience? Can this person deliver integrated business cases and act as the interpreter between the needs of the business and the infrastructure teams that support them? And where would that role sit? In HR, tech, CRE or FM? Evidence presented suggests a bridge between them all, representing the employee within the workplace ecosystem.

Technology is an enabler of the workplace, and its collective functionality is not, in itself, viewed as a differentiator between average or high-performing environments. However, individual technology services are proving to be local stress points and can be huge barriers to employee engagement. So be aware of the consumerisation of what was previously enterprise tech, as many employees now have better devices and collaboration tools at home.

Smarter buildings are just around the corner. This is not a futurology ‘perhaps’, they are entering the mainstream now, so the digitally-driven customisation of space to meet individual preferences offers employees a real opportunity to improve their personal effectiveness. Content-rich data on every aspect of building performance and employee behaviour has the ability to transform operating costs and efficiencies. Here though again we are fearful: a lack of data is no longer the issue – it’s the absence of those qualified to interpret it that is.

Our objective was to provide leadership teams with a dispassionate, evidence-based executive briefing that openly debated the role of workplace in the performance and profitability of their businesses. In bringing this insight to you, we hope a series of conversations will begin. But if there’s one take-out from our last words, ask yourself to what extent your workplace best supports what you are employed to do. This is where we see the seed of corporate workplace strategy. Are the workplaces you occupy, manage, or deliver, proactively supporting the roles of those they accommodate? The Stoddart Review challenges you to add a new item to your board agenda. Are your workplaces fit for purpose? ■
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With final thanks to the core team of David Shaw GBE, Polly Plunket-Checkemian, Julie Kortens, James Sutton, Joanna Lloyd-Davies, Tim Oldman, Chris Moriarty, Ian Ellison, Peter Brogan and Judith Cutts for turning a burning question on productivity into rich insight for the industry.
Chris Stoddart MCIOB, FBIFM was as comfortable in a hard hat on site as addressing the board. His career spanned construction, corporate real estate and facilities management, working for globally renowned brands. It was no surprise that Chris had won Facilities Manager of the Year and Lifetime Achievement Award with BIFM and that he was held in high regard by his clients and employers.

His ability to give high-impact, unbiased, data-driven advice to senior business leaders stood out. This ability was not just the essence of the man, but became the genesis of an idea for continuing his work after his sudden, tragic loss in 2014.

Extraordinary support from our insight partners and supporting organisations has encouraged our every step. The workplace industry is a generous, deep-hearted one and has shared insight and resources in many different formats. Whether this makes a contribution at the board table or informs colleagues across the industry, we are proud to gift this rich insight in Chris’s name.